

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,842 12/10/2001	Reena Rao	11378.36US01	1838
23552 7590 07/02/			
MERCHANT & GOULD PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-09	BAHAR, MOJDEH		
MININEAFOLIS, MIN 33402-03			
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1617	
	DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· 'P							
Office Action Summary		Applicati	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/014,84	12	RAO ET AL.			
		Examine		Art Unit			
		Mojdeh B		1617			
7 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to - Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat iod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days iod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by received by the Office later than three months after the atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no evition. s, a reply within the state period will apply and with state to the apply and with a posterior of the apply and a posterior of the apply and with a posterior of the apply and a posterior o	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
1)⊠ F	esponsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>21 April 2003</u> .		•			
2a) <u></u> ⊤	his action is FINAL . 2b)∑	☑ This action is	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition		, '		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
	e specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	· ·				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
ŀ	f approved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this O	ffice action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) 🗌	All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)							
1) Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I			/ (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT			

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-10 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 11-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claims 1-10 are herein examined on the merits.

Claim Objections

Claims 3, 5 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: They do not end with a period. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "rich in MCFA" in claim 1 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "rich" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The Skilled Artisan cannot ascertain the percentage of MCFA required in order for the lipid to be considered "rich in MCFA". Is a composition comprising 10% MCFA rich in MCFA? 20%? 50%? 80%? The metes and bounds of the claim are thus not ascertainable.

Art Unit: 1617

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 7 recites the broad recitation "very low melting point", and the claim also recites "12-15 degrees Celsius" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "recovery of scale up of structured lipids". This phrase is not understood. It is not clear what this claim recites or how the metes and bounds of the claim are delineated.

Claim 4 recites the phrase "cholesterol-lowering capacity". It is not clear what is meant by this phrase. Is this a measurable decrease in level of total cholesterol? LDL cholesterol?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1617

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kaimal et al. ("Modification of vegetable oils by lipase catalyzed interesterification," *Journal of Oil Technologist's Association of India*, Jan-March 2-10, 1989.)

Kaimal et al. discloses the interesterification of coconut oil with safflower oil. It further discloses that the product contains 8.2% of linoleic acid (i.e., a polyunsaturated fatty acid) as well as medium chain fatty acids useful as a dietary oil, see page 8 for example. Kaimal et al. also teaches that coconut oil is a saturated fat and lauric acid is one of the major fatty acids therein, see page 2, second column for example. Kaimal also teaches that the content of linolenic acid in coconut oil is less than 2%, see page 3 col. 1 in particular. Kaimal further teaches that the fatty acid content of interesterified coconut oil is up to 43.7%, see Tables 17-18 for example.

Note that the recitation of intended use does not further limit a claim drawn to a composition. Note further that a composition meeting the claimed limitations will inherently possess the qualities enumerated herein, i.e., hypercholestrolemic, modulator of eicosanoid production.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mojdeh Bahar whose telephone number is (703) 305-1007. The examiner can normally be reached on (703) 305-1007 Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Mojdeh Bahar Patent Examiner June 24, 2003

SREENI PADMANABHAN

6/26/03